

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/749,756 12/30/2003 Andrew S. Grover 42.P18169. 9097 EXAMINER 8791 7590 12/01/2006 **BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN** WALTER, CRAIG E 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER SEVENTH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90025-1030

2188

DATE MAILED: 12/01/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/749,756	GROVER ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Craig E. Walter	2188

	Craig E. Walter	2188			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 13 November 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS					
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice ging replies: (1) an amendment, ince of Appeal (with appeal fee) i	of Appeal. To avoid aba affidavit, or other eviden n compliance with 37 Cl	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set for ter than SIX MONTHS from the mai	ling date of the final rejecti	on.		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amou hortened statutory period for reply o than three months after the mailing	nt of the fee. The appropri riginally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as		
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), within the time period set forth in	to avoid dismissal of the 37 CFR 41.37(a).	e appeal. Since		
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see N	ef, will <u>not</u> be entered b QTE below);	ecause		
<ul> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in belappeal; and/or</li> </ul>	w); ter form for appeal by materially	reducing or simplifying	the issues for		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		rejected claims.			
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1			(DTO) (O) ()		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	•	Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		and the selection of th			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ vided below or appended.	will be entered and an e	explanation of		
Claim(s) allowed:	<u> </u>				
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-8,10-15 and 17-21</u> .			•		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	• • •	·.			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	d sufficient reasons why the affic	lavit or other evidence i	s necessary and		
9.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under ap y and was not earlier presented.	peal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).		
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims afte	r entry is below or attac	hed		
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).					
13. Other:	1-01/	1.51			
	HYUNG 800GH	Craig E Walter			
SU	PATENT EXAMINER	Examiner			
	11/29/06	· AU 2188			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant has modified the scope of the claims by canceling all previously pending claims and adding an entirely new set of claims after prosecution was closed in the Office action made final on 6 September 2006. According to MPEP § 714.13 II., "It should be kept in mind that applicant cannot, as a matter or right, amend any finally rejected claims, add new claims after a final rejection (see 37 CFR 1.116) or reinstate previously canceled claims". Such changes to the claims would require further search and consideration to determine if the amendments place the application in condition for allowance.